1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9   FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11   JANAI SERENE OPAL MEEKS,   No. 2:22-cv-0472 KJM KJN P

12   Plaintiff,

13   v.   ORDER

14   BUTTE COUNTY SHERIFF'S
     DEPARTMENT, et al.,
15
               Defendants.
16

17

18   Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C.

19   § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C.

20   § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

21   On May 26, 2022, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were

22   served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and

23   recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff did not file objections to the

24   findings and recommendations.

25   The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*,

26   602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed

27   de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law

28   by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court

                                              1

1   . . . ."). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be

2   supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

3   Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

4   1. The findings and recommendations filed May 26, 2022, are adopted in full;

5   2. Plaintiff's claims alleging violations of her constitutional rights related to her criminal

6   proceedings are dismissed as duplicative of the claims raised in 2:22-cv-0471 JDP; and

7   3. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for all further pretrial

8   proceedings.

9   DATED: July 13, 2022.

10

11   _____

12   CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28